



CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 19/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

1. Rajeswari

2. Sundarapandi

... Petitioners/ Accused No.8 & 9

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.38/2025).

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.38 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Thirupathy S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel For State of TN (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120(B) of IPC in Crime No.38 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant and his family members tried to get computerized patta Chitta, Encumbrance Certificate to the alleged property for the purpose of getting loan from the bank, they came to know that A3 to A8 and A19, executed a power deed in favour of A2 by creating the forged document and thereafter, A2 executed a sale deed in favour of A1, who is the wife of A2, A9 & A10. A15 to A18 stood as witnesses. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that no previous cases is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel For State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that the offence committed by the accused is grave in nature and the



CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He would further submit that A1 is the main accused and he was already arrested and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and there is a property dispute between the parties and even according to the prosecution, the alleged occurrence was took place on 20.03.2024 and FIR was registered on 14.10.2025, by this time, the material part of the investigation might have been completed, however, the respondent police has not taken any steps to secure the accused till date and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial**



WEB COPY

Magistrate No.I, Madurai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.


[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can



CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

WEB COPY

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch, Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11613 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11613 of 2026

Date : 19/06/2026