



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

Chinnaiya

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Keelavalavu Police Station
Madurai.
(Crime No. 100 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Abdul Kabur
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 77, 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.04.2026 at about 5.00 p.m., when the defacto complainant was taking bath, the petitioner came and tried to touch her, due to which, when the defacto complainant shouted, the petitioner ran away and fell down, thereby sustained injuries. Thereafter, when the family members of the defacto complainant went to the petitioner's house and enquire about the incident, A2 and A3 abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with stone and criminally intimidated them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that A2 and A3 were already granted bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 77, 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 100 of 2026. He would further submit that injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026


no previous case and co-accused were already granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026

apd
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Melur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Keelavalavu Police Station
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 12185 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12185 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026