



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

Kallappan@ Kadakali

...Petitioner/sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Arumuganeri Police Station,
Arumuganeri
Thoothukudi
Crime No.265 of 2025

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan
Advocate.


For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 265 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioner/ sole Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.265 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.08.2025 at about 10.00 a.m., when the defacto complainant and his friends were going to purchase electrical materials the petitioner herein came there and asked money. Since the defacto complainant refused to give the money the petitioner herein tried to attack him with aruval. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.08.2025 and



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

no one sustained injuries in the said occurrence. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the accused has assaulted the victim with aruval. He would further submit that the petitioner has 25 previous cases and investigation is still pending. and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that investigation has been completed and the case is committed to the Court of Sessions and pending before I Additional District and Sessions Court, Thoothukudi and now posted for appearance of accused and though the prosecution has stated that the



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026


petitioner has 25 previous cases and in all those cases bail was granted to the petitioner and some of the case were already disposed of and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the I Additional District and Sessions Court, Thoothukudi District and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

WEB COPY

investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;


[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

aav

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Additional District and Sessions Court,
Thoothukudi District
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Arumuganeri Police Station,
Arumuganeri
Thoothukudi
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11694 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11694 of 2026

Date : 19.06.2026