



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

Tony

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station
Ramanathapuram.
(Crime No. 208 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Packiaraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.Paneer Selvam

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 208 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 318(4), 351(3) of BNS and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 208 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the petitioner are the father and son and they constructed a new house, due to which the petitioner created problem. Further, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that this



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

petitioner is the son of the defacto complainant and cheated his own parents and also damaged the vehicle worth about Rs.30,000/- and hence, he stongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Counsel for State of TN (CrI.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 318(4), 351(3) of BNS and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 208 of 2026. He would further submit that nobody was injured in this case and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the relationship between the parties and considering the facts that there is a family dispute between the parties and nobody was injured and the



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
23.06.2026

apd

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi, Ramanathapuram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station
Ramanathapuram.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11302 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11302 of 2026

Date : 23.06.2026