



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11375 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11375 of 2026

M.Palanisamy

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Nathampatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.98 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.S.Haroon Rasheed
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 98 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.06.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS r/w. 21(1) of MMDR Act, in Crime No.98 of 2026 on the file of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11375 of 2026

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 05.06.2026, at about 09.00 a.m., when the defacto complainant along with his officials went on patrolling duty, at t hat time they found that the petitioner along with other accused persons involved in illegal transportation of one unit of M sand. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Only for the statistical purpose, this complaint has been lodged against the petitioner. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The petitioner along with other accused persons committed theft of valuable minerals. The petitioner has no previous case. Investigation in this case is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11375 of 2026

WEB COPY


6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, considering the quantity of minerals and the nature of minerals, the petitioner has no previous case and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Watrap**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police, at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11375 of 2026

or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
17.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Watrap.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Nathampatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.98 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, District Prison, Virudhunagar.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11375 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11375 of 2026

Date : 17.06.2026