



CRL OP(MD). No.11791 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.11791 of 2026

Palpandi,
S/o. Senthilkumar,
Vairavanpatti,
Periyakottai Post,
Sivagangai District.
(Now Confined at District
Prison, Virudhunagar).

... Petitioner/Accused No.1


Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kalaiyarkoil Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No.592 of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Shiravankumar.R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.11791 of 2026

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-32B. To enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail in connection with the CC.No. 11 of 2026 on the file of Additional District Judge for EC and NDPS Act Cases, Pudukkottai and thus render justice.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.11.2025 for the alleged offence under Section **8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act** in Crime No.592 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 5 Kgs of Ganja for the purpose of selling the public. Hence, the complaint has been registered. The petitioner was detained under Act 14 of 1982, as “Drug Offender” in detention order No.116 of 2025, dated 15.12.2025.



CRL OP(MD). No.11791 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is incarceration from 24.11.2025 and the detention order passed against him is set aside by this Court in H.C.P.(MD).No.523 of 2026, vide order dated 15.06.2026. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the petitioner joining hands with other accused illegally trafficked 5 Kgs of Ganja. He further submitted that except this case, the petitioner is involved in more than 31 cases, out of which the majority are offences under the IPC along with one case under the NDPS Act. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.11791 of 2026

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration and also his antecedents and the fact that quantity recovered is not commercial quantity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Special Additional District Court, EC and NDPS Act Cases, Pudukkottai/Competent Jurisdictional Special Court** and on further conditions that:

[b] **the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Krishnagiri Town Police Station, Krishnagiri, daily at 10.30 a.m., and 05.00 p.m., until further orders:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD), No.11791 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

VSG

(K R S J)
29.06.2026




CRL OP(MD). No.11791 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Special Additional District Court, EC and NDPS Act Cases, Pudukkottai/Competent Jurisdictional Special Court.
- 2.The Superintendent, District Prison, Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Kalaiyarkoil Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.11791 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11791 of 2026

Date : 29/06/2026