



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11388 of 2026

Ajithkumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatty Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.232 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Arputharaj
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 232 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 380(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 67 of Information Technology



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

(Amendment) Act, in Crime No.232 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused and the defacto complainant had extra marital relationship. The A1 recorded their private movements. The petitioner, who is the second accused in this case, showing the videos, threatened her and demanded money. The other accused persons also demanded money from her. When the same was questioned the petitioner along with other accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant and also criminally intimidated her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. A1 is the main accused in this case. Co-accused were released on bail. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The petitioner also demanded money from the defacto complainant by showing the private



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

videos of the first accused and the defacto complainant and along with other accused persons, he assaulted her with dire consequences. The injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioner has no previous case against him. Investigation in this case is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, the injured was discharged from the hospital, the co-accused were released on bail, the petitioner has no previous case and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam**, and on further conditions that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police at**

10.30 a.m. on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

(P D B J)
17.06.2026

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatty Police Station,
Theni District. (Crime No.232 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, District Prison, Theni.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11388 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11388 of 2026

Date : 17.06.2026