



CRL OP(MD) . No.11309 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.11309 of 2026

Satham Usain,
S/o. Abdul Sathar,
No. 13 Kandakara Street,
Thirubivanam,
Thiruvidaimaruthur Taluk,
Thanjavur..

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvidaimarudhur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No. 1384 of 2020.).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vikraman
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026

PRAYER :-


WEB COPY

C-6B. To enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the case in S.C.No. 98 of 2020 on the file of the Learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam and thus render justice.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.2, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 31.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 302 of IPC, in S.C.No.98 of 2020 on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam, in Crime No.1384 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was already released on bail and since the petitioner has not turned up for the hearing, Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) was ordered to be issued on 18.03.2026 and



CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026

the same was executed on 31.03.2026 and he is still in judicial custody. He would further submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that due to non-appearance, the Non-Bailable Warrant was issued against the petitioner and the same was executed on 31.03.2026. He would further submit that the case in S.C.No.98 of 2020 on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam, is posted for framing of charges on 01.07.2026. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.




CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. This Court heard both sides and perused

the materials available on record.

5. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that already the petitioner was granted bail and thereafter, due to non-appearance, NBW was issued and the same was executed on 31.03.2026 and according to the petitioner, even after filing 317 petition to dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioner, the trial Court without accepting the same, dismissed the petition and the case in S.C.No.98 of 2020 on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam, is posted on 01.07.2026 for framing of charges and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 31.03.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject



CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026


to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is



CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026


suspected;

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD). No.11309 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

TO

- 1.The learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Kumbakonam.
2. The Officer-in-Charge, Sub Jail, Pudukkottai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvidaimarudhur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.11309 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.11309 of 2026

Date : 19/06/2026