



CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

Chinnamani

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB Madurai City Police Station
Madurai.
(Crime No. 41 of 2024)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Prabhu
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 41 of 2024 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 66D of IT Act, 2008, 120B, 406, 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC in Crime No. 41 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused fraudulently received 358 sovereigns of gold jewels and Rs.1,47,07,000/- from the defacto complainant and harassing the defacto complainant by asking for money more. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused were granted anticipatory bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit




CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 66D of IT Act, 2008, 120B, 406, 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC in Crime No. 41 of 2024. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that though alleged occurrence took place in the year 2022, FIR has been lodged only in the year 2024; however, so far the respondent have not taken any steps to secure the accused and as the offences are borne out of records, there is no scope to tamper the evidence and also co-accused were granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

WEB COPY

on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

WEB COPY

released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself
as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
18.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
CCB Madurai city Police Station
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11506 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11506 of 2026

Date : 18.06.2026