



CRL OP(MD). No.11257 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11257 of 2026

Chinnamari

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep
By the Inspector of Police,
Kariyapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.121 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ponkarthikeyan,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.11257 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS, in Crime No.121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the defacto complainant had married one Karuppasamy. Due to matrimonial dispute, his daughter and his son-in-law started to live separately. On 20.04.2026, the deceased, who is the husband of the defacto complainant allegedly informed his family members that the said Karuppasamy had threatened him. On the next day, at about 04.00a.m., the deceased was found dead in front of his house with cut injuries. Based on the complaint of the defacto complainant, the present case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this



CRL OP(MD). No.11257 of 2026

case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

He would further submit that he is not a named accused in the FIR and based on the confession of co-accused, he has been roped as accused in this case. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.04.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered against the accused persons. He would further submit that though the material part of investigation has been completed, considering the nature of grave offence and previous antecedents of the petitioner, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that the



CRL OP(MD), No.11257 of 2026

petitioner is not a named accused as per FIR and he has been roped in the case based on the confession of co-accused and that material part of investigation has already been completed and also considering the fact that though the petitioner has previous cases to his credit, he has got bail in the previous cases registered against him, as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Kariyapatti**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent** daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;



CRL OP(MD), No.11257 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
16.06.2026

PNM

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.11257 of 2026

TO
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Kariyapatti
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Viruthunagar District.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
4. The Inspector of Police, Kariyapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.11257 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11257 of 2026

Date : 16/06/2026