



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11259 of 2026

Suresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.223 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jagadeeshwaran
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 223 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 331(4) and 305(a) of BNS @ into Sections 331(4), 305(a) r/w 61 of BNS, in Crime No.223



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026

of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 13.04.2026 when the defacto commercial went to his father-in-law's house at Sattur and stayed there, some persons entered into the house and stolen 122.200 grams gold jewels, 800 grams silver ornaments and Rs.45,000/-. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Co-accused in this case have been released on bail. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. Part of the properties were recovered. The petitioner has 6 previous cases. The petitioner is a history sheeted accused. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026


7. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, already the properties were partly recovered, no recovery from this petitioner, though the prosecution has stated that the petitioner has 6 previous cases, in all those cases he was already granted bail, co-accused were already granted bail and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the **concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate, at 10.30 a.m., on all working days until further orders:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026

or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
22.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.223 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Jail, Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli District.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11259 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11259 of 2026

Date : 22.06.2026