



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11255 of 2026

Moorthi

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thoothukudi South Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.590 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vishnuvardhan
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 590 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b) of BNS and Section 25(1A) of Arms Act, in Crime No.590 of 2026 on the file of



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.05.2026, during the vehicle check up the defacto complainant, found that the petitioner was in illegal possession of prohibited weapon. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, he abused him in filthy language. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Only for statistical purpose, this false case has been foisted against the petitioner. Co-accused has also been released on bail. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The petitioner along with other accused persons was in possession of banned weapon and also abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also threatened him by showing the weapon. Nobody was sustained injuries in this case. The petitioner has 6 previous cases. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, nobody was injured in this case, co-accused in this case has also been released on bail, though the petitioner has 6 previous cases, they are not similar kind of offences and in all those cases, the petitioner was granted bail, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi**, at **10.30 a.m., on all working days, until further orders:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the
commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation
or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any
inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts
of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the
Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned
Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action
against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions
have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned
Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme
Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
16.06.2026

TM

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi.

2.The Inspector of Police,

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

Thoothukudi South Police Station,
Thoothukudi District. (Crime No.590 of 2026)

WEB COPY

- 3.The Superintendent, District Jail, Peraunari, Thoothukudi District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11255 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11255 of 2026

Date : 16.06.2026