



CRL OP(MD). No.11180 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11180 of 2026

Rajkumar

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep By, The Inspector of Police,
Thirunagar Police Station,
Madurai District.
Cr.No.131/2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Sivaraja.G,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.131 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.11180 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act in Crime No. 131 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.05.2026 at about 10.00a.m., the respondent were doing their regular patrol duty and found that the accused persons had unlawful possession of sword in order to commit crimes and to threaten the public. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused have already been enlarged on bail by this Court. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

2/7




CRL OP(MD), No.11180 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, who is a police official, the case has been registered against the accused persons. The petitioner has four previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail and that nobody injured in this case and further considering the fact that the alleged complaint was not lodged by any public and also the fact that though the petitioner has previous cases to his credit, he has already been granted bail in those cases, as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration



CRL OP(MD). No.11180 of 2026

undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai** on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation before the respondent;*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD), No.11180 of 2026

WEB COPY


[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
16.06.2026

PNM



CRL OP(MD). No.11180 of 2026

TO

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Madurai District.
3. The Superintendent, District Prison, Virudhunagar.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Thirunagar Police Station, Madurai District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11180 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11180 of 2026

Date : 16/06/2026