

CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No. 11088 of 2026

Ameen Basha

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS Cantonment Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.3 of 2026) ..Respondent

For Petitioners :Mr.Yasar Arafath
For Respondent :Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN
(Crl. Side)
For Intervener :Ms.J.Kavinaya

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.3 of
2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 294(b) and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.3 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the petitioner. The marriage was solemnized on 29.01.2023, at that time, the parents of the defacto complainant have given sreedhana articles. Thereafter, the petitioner and his family members demanded dowry from the defacto complainant and harassed her and tortured her by mentally. Hence, the case.




CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor represented the defacto complainant and would submit that the defacto complainant is going to file intervene petition. He also objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner repeatedly harassed the defacto complainant and the offences are grave in nature. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

offences are grave in nature and no one sustained any injuries and the petitioner has no previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and there was a matrimonial dispute between the parties and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the fact that no one sustained any injuries and most of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

WEB COPY

ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila Court, Trichy**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter

WEB COPY absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

15.06.2026

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Additional
Mahila Court, Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police,
AWPS Cantonment Police Station,
Trichy District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11088 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 11088 of 2026

Date : 15.06.2026