



CRL OP(MD). No.11160 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11160 of 2026

Veerapandi

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Taluk Police Station, Sivagangai.
Crime No. 146/2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Pon Eswaramoorthy.J,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

To grant bail to the petitioner in Crime No. 146/2026 on the file of the Respondent police and thus render justice.

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.11160 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 308(4) of BNS in Crime No. 146 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.04.2026, at about 09.20 a.m., the defacto complainant intercepted the vehicle of the petitioner and requested a lift to Sivaganga. After she boarded the vehicle, the petitioner allegedly took her to a secluded wooded area and threatened her with a knife to give the gold jeweller. Out of fear, the defacto complainant handed over her gold earrings and mobile phone to the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner fled away from the scene of occurrence with the stolen articles. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner and the defacto complainant are known to



CRL OP(MD), No.11160 of 2026

each other and the properties have already been recovered by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the present case has been registered against the accused. Though the petitioner has no previous cases at his credit, considering the stage of investigation and the offences are grave in nature, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases to his credit and further considering the fact that the alleged stolen properties have already been recovered by the prosecution, as well as the period of incarceration undergone by the



CRL OP(MD). No.11160 of 2026

petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Sivagangai**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **Judicial Magistrate No.2, Sivagangai** on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation before the respondent.*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD), No.11160 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
16.06.2026

PNM



CRL OP(MD). No.11160 of 2026

TO

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.2, Sivagangai
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Sivagangai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Taluk Police Station,
Sivagangai.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11160 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11160 of 2026

Date : 16/06/2026