



CRL OP(MD) . No.10882 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**


CRL OP(MD) . No.10882 of 2026

1. Prabhakaran,
S/o. Prabhu,
Chinnakuravakudi,
Ayyanarkulam,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District..

2. Suryaprakash,
S/o. Prabhu,
Chinnakuravakudi,
Ayyanarkulam,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District..

3. Paraman,
S/o. Seenithevar,
Chinnakuravakudi,
Ayyanarkulam,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District..

4. Thivakar,
S/o. Periyasamy,
Chinnakuravakudi,
Ayyanarkulam,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District..



CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Vignesh,
S/o. Otchathevar,
Chinnakuravakudi,
Ayyanarkulam,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District..

... petitioners/A1 to A5

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Uthapanaickanur Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No. 90 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For petitioners : Mr.S.P.Naveenkumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in
Crime No. 90 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A5, who apprehend
arrest at the hands of the respondent for the
offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 132,
296(b), 115(2), 351(2) and 303(2) of BNS, 2023,
in Crime No.90 of 2026 on the file of the



CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, who is working as an Assistant in the PWD-WRO Section, was on duty on 25.05.2026, at that time, at about 05.30 p.m., the accused persons were allegedly indulging in fishing in the concerned area. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the accused persons abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.




CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and the petitioners have no previous cases and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and most of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners,



CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Usilampatti, Madurai District**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and



CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

WEB COPY

**thereafter as and when required for
interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.10882 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter

absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

vsg

TO

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Usilampatti, Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Uthapanaickanur Police Station,
Madurai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10882 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10882 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026