



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026

M.Palanisamy,
S/o.Mani,
2/81, Kakkan Colony,
Boothamangalam,
Kakkampatti,
Madurai District - 625 .101..
... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep.,By
The Inspector of Police,
Singampuneri Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No. 162/2026..

... Respondent/Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.F.Deepak,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in
Crime No. 162/2026 on the file of the respondent
Police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.162 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the Police personnel along with the respondent Police was in a routine surveillance with regard to illegal transaction of sand and they found that the petitioner and other accused persons had illegally transported M-sand by using tractor without any valid permission. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026


incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner had illegally transported M-sand without any permission. He would further submit that the petitioner has one previous case, which is not similar in nature. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the quantity of the materials involved in this case, and also considering the fact that though the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026

has one previous case, the same is not similar kind of offence and in that case, he was released on bail and the materials have been seized and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Singampuneri, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the



CRL OP(MD). No.10908 of 2026

WEB COPY

learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as
laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR
SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter
absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

VSG

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Singampuneri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Singampuneri Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10908 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10908 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026