



CRL OP(MD) . No.10922 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.10922 of 2026

1. A.Shanmugavel,
S/o.V.Andi,
Residing at No. 114/2,
Malligai Street,
Ezhil Nagar,
Krishnasamudram,
Thiruverumbur Taluk,
Trichy.

2. S.Parimala,
W/o.Shanmugavel,
Residing at No. 114/2, Malligai Street,
Ezhil Nagar,
Krishnasamudram,
Thiruverumbur Taluk,
Trichy.

... Petitioners/A1 & A2

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Trichy District.
Crime No. 05/2025..

... Respondent/Complainant



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ramanujam
For Gandhi Associatees,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel For State of TN
(Crl.Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- C-24AB. For Anticipatory Bail in
Crime No. 05 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend
arrest at the hands of the respondent for the
offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of
IPC, in Crime No.05 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the
petitioners informed the defacto complainant that
their relative is working in customs department
at Airport and she is selling gold jewels seized
by customs at lower price. Due to which, on



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

08.05.2024, the petitioners and other accused persons received a sum of Rs.10,35,000/- from the defacto complainant and thereafter they cheated the defacto complainant and failed to return the gold ornaments. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioners have no previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

WEB COPY 5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the quantity of the material involved in this case and the petitioners have no previous cases and as the date of occurrence on 14.03.2024 and the FIR has been registered belatedly on 20.03.2025, by this time, the material part of the investigation might have been completed and pursuant to the FIR, there was a money dispute between the parties in respect of purchase of Gold ornaments and according to the petitioners, they already appeared before the respondent Police for enquiry and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

WEB COPY

ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Trichy**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of eight weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in



CRL OP(MD). No.10922 of 2026

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR

WEB COPY SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026


VSG

TO

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I,
Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Trichy District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10922 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10922 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026