



CRL OP(MD). No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.10884 of 2026

1. Abdul Khadar @ Khadar,
S/o. Noor Mohamed,
No.4/27, Karur Main
Road, Jiyapuram Post,
Thiruchendurai,
Trichy District.

2. Dharmaraj,
S/o. Karupuli,
No.4/36a, Kudi Street,
Mannachanallur,
Trichy District..

... Petitioners/A2 & A3

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vathalai Police Station,
Trichy District.

(In Crime No. 207 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Haroon Rasheed,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN
(Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 207 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A2 & A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 5 and 7(3) of the Lotteries Regulation Act, 1998, in Crime No.207 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 04.06.2026, the defacto complainant/Sub Inspector of Police, along with Police Officials were on patrol duty near Trichy Sirugambur Government Hospital, at that time, they found that the petitioners and other accused persons sold prohibited lottery. Hence, the case.

2/8



CRL OP(MD), No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY 3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel for the State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the first petitioner has two previous cases. The second petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and the second petitioner has no previous cases and though the first petitioner has two previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in both cases, he was released on bail and also considering the fact that based on the confession of co-accused, they were arrayed as accused and the co-accused were arrested and released on bail and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and the materials have been seized and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready,



CRL OP(MD), No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY


before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper



CRL OP(MD). No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY

with evidence or witness either during

investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

VSG



CRL OP(MD). No.10884 of 2026

WEB COPY TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vathalai Police Station,
Trichy District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10884 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10884 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026