



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

S. Muthukumar,,
S/o. Sundara Pandian,
6/43,Sivan
Kovil Street,
Kongarayakurichi,
Thoothukudi District..

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No. 355 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.P.Narayanakumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 355 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.355 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.05.2026, at about 11.00 hours, the Special Deputy Thasildar, Department of Geology and Mines, Tirunelveli, and the respondent were engaged in vehicle check up at Rajavallipuram, at that time, they found that the petitioner and other accused persons had illegally transported three units of gravel sand by using tipper lorry bearing Registration No. TN 38 CL 7210 without any valid permission. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner had illegally transported three units of gravel sand without any permission. He would further submit that the petitioner has two previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the quantity of the materials involved in this case, and also considering the fact that though the petitioner has two previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in both cases, he was released on bail and the materials have been seized and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirunelveli**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY

sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY

Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10894 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10894 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026