



CRL OP(MD). No.10865 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.10865 of 2026

Manikandan,
S/o.Kandasamy,
No.21, Samathuvapuram,
Manmangalam,
Manmangalam Taluk,
Karur District..

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs


The State of Tamilnadu Rep BY,
The Inspector of Police,
Vangal Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.166 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Gokulraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD), No.10865 of 2026


PRAYER :-

WEB COPY C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 166 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023, and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.166 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.06.2026, the Police personnel along with the respondent Police was in a routine surveillance with regard to illegal transaction of sand, and at that time, they found that the petitioner and other accused persons had illegally transported six units of river sand by using lorry bearing Registration No. TN 30 AW 6888 without any valid



CRL OP(MD). No.10865 of 2026

permission. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner had illegally transported six units of river sand without any permission. He would further submit that the petitioner has one previous case. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.


5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.10865 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the quantity of the materials involved in this case, and also considering the fact that according to the prosecution, at the time of occurrence, the accused are ran away from the place of occurrence and only on suspects, this petitioner has been arrayed as accused and though the petitioner has one previous case, in that case, he was already released on bail and the vehicle along with minerals have been seized and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance,



CRL OP(MD), No.10865 of 2026

WEB COPY

within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Rasipuram Police Station, Namakkal District, once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No.10865 of 2026

[c] the petitioner shall not commit
any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond
either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper
with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid
conditions, the learned Judicial
Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to
take appropriate action against the
petitioner in accordance with law as if
the conditions have been imposed and the
petitioner released on bail by the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as
laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD). No.10865 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Rasipuram Police Station, Namakkal District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Vangal Police Station,
Karur District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10865 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10865 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026