



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

Ramesh,
S/o. Thangavel,
No. 2/23, Middle Street,
Manamedu Post,
Thottiyam Taluk,
Trichy District..

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thottiyam Police Station,
Trichy District.
Crime No. 163 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K Arunraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

PRAYER :-


WEB COPY C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 163 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023, and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.163 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.06.2026, at about 06.00 p.m., based on the complaint lodged by the Village Administrative Officer of Manamedu Village, the Police personnel along with the respondent Police were doing regular checkup near Manamedu area, at that time, they found that the petitioner and other accused persons had illegally transported $\frac{1}{2}$ unit of river

2/8



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

sand by using Ashok Leyland without any valid permission. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner had illegally transported half unit of river sand without any permission. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials

WEB COPY available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the quantity of the materials involved in this case, and also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases and the materials have been seized and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thottiyam,** on



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

WEB COPY

condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.10888 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

1.The learned District Munsif Cum Judicial
Magistrate, Thottiyam.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thottiyam Police Station,
Trichy District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10888 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10888 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026