



CRL OP(MD) . No.10878 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.10878 of 2026

Ayyanar,,
S/o. Maruthaiya,
Kamatchiamman Kovil Street,
Melakkal,
Vadipatti Taluk,
Madurai District..

... Petitioner/Accused No.1


Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kadupatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No. 80 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Kevinkaran,
for Mr.A.Balaji
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for the State of TN
(Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

WEB COPY


PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
80 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 70, 296(b), 118(1), 316(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.80 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there is a dispute between the parties, on 20.05.2026, at about 01.00 p.m., the petitioner along with other accused persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted




CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

her and threatened her and her family members with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case in counter case. a counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.81 of 2026. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.81 of 2026 and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and most of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance,



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

WEB COPY

within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, vadipatti**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

VSG

6/8



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, vadipatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kadupatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10878 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10878 of 2026

Date : 12/06/2026