



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 25/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

Anbazhagan

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kulithalai Police Station,
Karur District.
Crime No.40/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.40/2025 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : B. Vinothkumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel For State of TN (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(2) & 351(2) of BNS, 2023, r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.40 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is a collection agent of Equitas Bank and the defacto complainant borrowed loan from the said bank. On 24.01.2025, when the petitioner went to the house of the defacto complainant for collecting monthly installment, there was a wordy altercation between them. Due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked her and caused blood injury. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that this is the third anticipatory bail petition and in the earlier anticipatory bail petition in Crl.OP(MD)No.6681 of 2025, he was granted anticipatory bail on 17.04.2025. However, he did not surrender



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

and execute the sureties so far due to the fact that the petitioner went away from his home town regarding job why because he lost his job due to this case. He would further submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel For State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that this is the third anticipatory bail petition and the offence committed by the accused is grave in nature and the investigation is still pending and the petitioner has 2 previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a dispute between the parties with regard to collect monthly installment and the injured was discharged from the hospital and though the prosecution stated that the



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

petitioner has 2 previous cases, in those cases, he was released on bail,

I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kulithalai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 4 weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

witness either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

25.06.2026

dss

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kulithalai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kulithalai Police Station, Karur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12418 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12418 of 2026

Date : 25/06/2026