



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Vanniyampatti Vilakku Police Station
Virudhunagar.
(Crime No. 117 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Pandian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.S.Sivasamy

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 117 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 117 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner gave a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- to the defacto complainant. When the same was asked by the petitioner, the defacto complainant refused to return back the money, due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language in public place and criminally intimidated with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that he is going to file intervene petition and also he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail on the ground that the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and he sustained fracture injury and still he is taking treatment in Government Hospital.

5. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 117 of 2026. He would further submit that the injured is still taking treatment. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and as per prosecution,



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

though the petitioner is taking treatment, considering the nature of injury sustained by the defacto complainant and the fact that the petitioner is working as CISF, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Srivilliputhur, Virudhunagar, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of one week, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.


[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

apd

(P D B J)
18.06.2026



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Srivilliputhur, Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vanniyampatti Vilakku Police Station
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10757 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10757 of 2026

Date : 18.06.2026