



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

Selvam

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Elathur Police Station
Tenkasi.
(Crime No. 114 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Chellappandian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 114 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 49, 191(2), 296(b), 329(3) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 114 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 13.05.2026, on the instigation of the fourth accused, the petitioner and other accused unlawfully trespassed into the shop of the defacto complainant, caused damage to the machinery therein and abused the defacto complainant and his wife in filthy language and also threatened them with iron rod with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no one was injured in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 49, 191(2), 296(b), 329(3) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 114 of 2026. He would further submit that since there is a civil dispute between the parties, the occurrence happened and no one was injured. He would further submit that the petitioner has twenty four previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that since there is a civil dispute between the parties, the occurrence happened and no one was injured and also considering the facts that



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026


though the petitioner has twenty four previous cases, in all cases the petitioner was granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Shencottai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Ambasamudram Police Station, Tirunelveli, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of thirty days, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

investigation or trial.

WEB COPY


[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

apd

(P D B J)
10.06.2026



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Shencottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Elathur Police Station
Tenkasi.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Ambasamudram Police Station,
Tirunelveli.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10687 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10687 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026