



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.06.2026

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.P.CRL.(MD)No.3123 of 2026

Vasanthi

.. Petitioner / wife of the prisoner  
Vs.

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,  
Madurai Zone,  
Madurai-625 016.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison,  
Palayamkottai,  
Tirunelveli-627 002.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirunelveli District,  
District Police Headquarters,  
Tirunelveli.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
Maniyatchi Police Station,  
Thoothukudi.

.. Respondents



WEB COPY

Prayer :Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order of the first respondent dated 11.05.2026 in No.474/UTHA. 2/2026 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to grant ordinary leave of 28 days without escort to the petitioner's husband, the prisoner namely, Subbaiah, s/o. Chinnathambi, LCT No.3259, PID No. 18032, presently serving life sentence at Palayamkottai Central Prison.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Narayanan

For Respondents : Mr.T.Leninkumar,  
Counsel for the State of  
Tamil Nadu, Criminal Side

### **ORDER**

(Order of the Court was made by N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.)

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings of the first respondent made in No.474/UTHA.2/2026 dated 11.05.2026 and for a consequential direction to the respondents to grant ordinary leave for 28 days without police escort to the petitioner's husband, who is presently serving sentence at Central Prison, Palayamkottai,

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side.



WEB COPY 3. The petitioner's husband is a life convict and he is presently serving sentence at Central Prison, Palayamkottai. The petitioner made a representation to the respondents seeking for 28 days ordinary leave on the ground that the petitioner is facing health issues and some financial arrangements will have to be made. The representation made by the petitioner came to be considered by the first respondent and 28 days ordinary leave was granted subject to the condition that the convict will be accompanied by an escort. This condition has been put to challenge in the present writ petition.

4. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the convict has undergone sentence of 11 years, 5 months and 5 days and ordinary leave was granted once and nothing untoward took place, we are inclined to modify the condition imposed by the first respondent to the effect that the convict will report before the fourth respondent daily at 05.00 p.m., during the entire ordinary leave period. The other conditions imposed by the first respondent shall stand as it is.



WEB COPY 5. This writ petition (criminal) stands disposed of in the above terms.

(N.A.V.,J..) (K.K.R.K.,J.)  
12.06.2026

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

TSG

To

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,  
Madurai Zone,  
Madurai-625 016.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison,  
Palayamkottai,  
Tirunelveli-627 002.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirunelveli District,  
District Police Headquarters,  
Tirunelveli.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
Maniyatchi Police Station,  
Thoothukudi.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.  
AND  
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.**

TSG

W.P.CRL.(MD)No.3123 of 2026

12.06.2026