



W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 10.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.11661 of 2026

1.K.Selvadurai
2.Saravanan
3.Manoharan
4.Sudha
5.N.Rajkumar

... Petitioners

VS.


1.The Commissioner,
Tiruchirappalli City Corporation,
No.58, Bharathidasan Salai, Cantonment,
Tiruchirappalli – 620 001.

2.The Assistant Commissioner,
Zone - V, K.Abishekapuram,
Tiruchirappalli City Corporation,
No.10, Vayalur Main Road, (Near) Government Hospital,
Puthur, Trichy – 620 017.

3.R.Venkateshwaran

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st and 2nd Respondents to forbear the 3rd Respondent from undertaking any



W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026


construction activity over the storm water drain abutting Thamarai salai east extension lanes, Annamalai Nagar, Tiruchirappalli City, until the petitioner's representation dated 06.06.2026 is considered by the 1st and 2nd Respondents.

For Petitioners :Mr.J.K.Jeyaselan
For R1 and R2 :Mr.K.R.Kishore Ram
for M/s.K.B.Law Associates

ORDER

The petitioners are before this Court seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing respondents 1 and 2 to forbear the third respondent from carrying out any construction activity over the storm water drain abutting Thamarai Salai East Extension Lanes, Annamalai Nagar, Tiruchirappalli City, pursuant to the petitioners' representation dated 06.06.2026.

2. According to the petitioners, respondents 1 and 2 had granted permission to the third respondent only to place a slab over the storm water drain. However, it is alleged that the third respondent, contrary to the permission granted, has commenced construction of a bridge over the drain. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioners submitted a representation



W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026


dated 06.06.2026 requesting the authorities to take appropriate action.

Their grievance is that no orders have been passed on the said representation.

3.Mr. K.R. Kishore Ram, learned counsel appearing for respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, submitted that no unauthorised construction has been undertaken over the storm water drain. He further submitted that the petitioners' representation dated 06.06.2026 would be considered and disposed of by the competent authority on its own merits and in accordance with law within such time as may be stipulated by this Court. The said submission is placed on record.

4.In view of the aforesaid submission, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the rival contentions, this Court is inclined to direct the competent authority to consider the petitioners' representation in a time-bound manner.

5.Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 and 2 to consider and dispose of the petitioners'



W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026

representation dated 06.06.2026 on its own merits and in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, the third respondent and all other necessary parties.

6.The aforesaid exercise shall be completed within a period of three (3) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7.There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index :Yes / No
Internet :Yes / No
NCC :Yes / No

10.06.2026
(1/2)

cmr



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cmr

W.P.(MD)No.15558 of 2026

10.06.2026
(1/2)