



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10649 of 2026

1.Sathiyenthiran
2.Balachandran
3.Saravanan
4.Sokkanathan

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Nainar Koil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.101 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Udhayakumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 101 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 324(4) of BNS, in Crime No.101 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that 28.05.2026, due to the pre-existing dispute regarding the partition of ancestral property, the petitioners jointly together and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to sudden provocation the petitioners acted upon. There is counter case has also been registered. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to property dispute, the petitioners brutally attacked the defacto complainant. The defacto complainant sustained head injuries. The injured was discharged from the hospital. There is counter case



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

has also been registered. The petitioners have no previous case. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there is property dispute between the parties, counter case has also been registered, the injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital, there is no previous case against the petitioners, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners 1 to 3 shall report before the respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

WEB COPY

police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders, the fourth petitioner shall appear before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Nainar Koil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.101 of 2026)
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10649 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10649 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026