



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10713 of 2026

Sasikumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
VK Pudur Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.121 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.T.Seeni Syed Amma
Advocate for
M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1A) of Arms Act, 115(2), 191(2), 191(3), 238, 296(b), 308(4) and 351(3) of BNS, in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

Crime No.121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons and the defacto complainant became friends through an app. They called the defacto complainant to come to a particular place and they unlawfully assembled with deadly weapons and threatened the defacto complainant and grabbed Rs.2,700/- and a Cell Phone from him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is a college student. He is not involved in any kind of occurrence. Co-accused was already released on bail. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The first accused called the defacto complainant and the other accused are arrived to that place, attacked the defacto complainant and grabbed Rs.2,700/- from his pocket and a Cell phone and also obtained Rs.10,000/- through g-pay. The petitioner has one previous case. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, already co-accused A3 was released on bail, though the petitioner has one previous case in that case he was granted bail and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam, Tenkasi District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Sunday, until further orders:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

commission of which he/she is suspected;

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
11.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam, Tenkasi District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
VK Pudur Police Station,
Tenkasi District. (Crime No.121 of 2026)

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

3.The Superintendent, District Prison, Tenkasi.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10713 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10713 of 2026

Date : 11.06.2026