



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

Sathaiya @ Senthilkumar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
K.Puduppatti Police Station,
Pudukottai.
(Crime No. 138 of 2025)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Balasubramanian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 138 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 506(1), 509 of IPC and Section 67 of IT Act in Crime No. 138 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner spread the defacto complainant's image with obscene words in social media. Already the petition did the same kind of offence, regarding which the relatives of the defacto complainant warned the petitioner through respondent police. However, the petitioner do the same again. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 506(1), 509 of IPC and Section 67 of IT Act in Crime No. 138 of 2025. He would further submit that the petitioner has three previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that though the occurrence took place in the year 2025, so far the respondent police have not taken any steps to secure the accused and also considering the facts that though the petitioner has three previous cases, in all cases the petitioner was granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, Pudukottai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Pattukottai Police Station, Thanjavur, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of thirty days, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

apd

To

1. The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumayam, Pudukottai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Pattukottai Police Station,
Thanjavur.
3. The Inspector of Police,
K.Puduppatti Police Station,
Pudukottai.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10652 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10652 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026