

**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 15.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No. 10636 of 2026

1.Anitha  
2.Veeraperumal  
3.Selvaraj

...Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs

1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Thiruchuli Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.  
(Crime No.224 of 2026)

..Respondents

For Petitioners:Mr.Arul Jenifer  
for Mr.M.Perumal

For Respondent :Mr.N.Balasubramanian  
Counsel for State of TN  
(Crl. Side)

For Interveners:Mr.K.Dinesh  
Mr.P.T.Ramesh Raja  
Mr.K.Muthuvai Ilayaraja

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482  
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.224 of  
2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/8



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**


WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.224 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners cheated the defacto complainant for providing Government Job by receiving a sum of Rs.4.86 lakhs. Thereafter, they neither secured job nor repaid the amount. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the intervener has strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners have two previous cases and the petitioners cheated the defacto complainant for securing Government Job by receiving a sum of Rs. 4.86 lakhs.

5. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioners have two previous cases, out of which, one case was ended in acquittal. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

**WEB COPY** 7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and even according to the case of prosecution, the occurrence took place in the year 2023 and the FIR has been registered belatedly on 03.06.2026, by this time, the material part of the investigation might have been completed and though the petitioner has two previous cases, one case was ended in acquittal and in another case, they were released on bail and even according to the case of prosecution the offences are borne out of records and there is no scope for tampering the evidence and and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026

WEB COPY

date on which the order copy made ready,  
before the **learned Judicial Magistrate,**  
**Thiruchuli, Virudhunagar District,** on  
condition that the petitioners shall  
execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-  
(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two  
sureties each for a like sum to the  
satisfaction of the learned Judicial  
Magistrate concerned and on further  
conditions that:

**[b] the petitioners shall report  
before the respondent police daily at  
5.30 p.m., until further orders.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit  
any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond  
either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper  
with evidence or witness either during  
investigation or trial.



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

15.06.2026

vsg



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

WEB COPY

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchuli,  
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Thiruchuli Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



**CRL OP(MD). No. 10636 of 2026**

**P. DHANABAL, J.,**

vsg

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP (MD) No. 10636 of 2026

Date : 15.06.2026