



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

1.Muthukumar
2.Thirupathi
3.Sivaganesan
4.Gugan
5.Kannan
6.Panchu
7.Pandi
8.Manikandan
9.Mangaleswaran
10.Vinothkumar

...Petitioners/Accused 1 to 10


Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch(DCB)
Madurai.
(Crime No. 45 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Vivek Kumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 61(2) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are in same family. Due to property dispute, the petitioners and other accused conspired themselves and forged the legal heir certificate of their grandfather Poonchulai @ Gandhithevar and sold the properties fraudulantly. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 61(2) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 45 of 2025. He would further submit that the petitioners 1 and 2 have two previous cases each. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and considering the relationship between the parties and also considering the fact that even according to the prosecution, the offences are borne out of record,



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

thereby no scope to tamper the witnesses and though alleged occurrence took place in the year 2024, the FIR has been registered only on 31.12.2025 and by this time, investigation might have been completed and though the petitioners 1 and 2 have some previous cases, in all cases bail was granted to them and no previous case is pending against the other petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Madurai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

WEB COPY

**period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when
required for the interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

apd

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch(DCB)
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10655 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10655 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026