



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

Umadevi

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
(Crime No. 409 of 2025)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sathish Kumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 409 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 314, 316(2), 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 409 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused induced the defacto complainant to invest a substantial amount to paper cup business. Believing their words, the defacto complainant paid a sum of Rs.65,00,000/-. However, the accused persons delivered defective machinaries to the defacto complainant. Hence, the defacto complainant asked to repay her money, due to which accused issued a cheque, which was dishonoured. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a part of the amount was repaid by A1 and A1 was arrested and released on bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (CrI.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 314, 316(2), 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 409 of 2025. He would further submit that there is a money dispute between the parties and a cheque case is pending between the parties. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that there is a money dispute between the parties, a part of the amount was repaid by A1 and this petitioner is none other than the wife of A1 and no any specific overt-act is attributed as against this petitioner and also A1 was arrested and released on bail and also considering the facts that the occurrence happened on 08.03.2025, but the FIR has been registered on 21.12.2025; however, by this time, investigaion might have



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

been completed, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner,
subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Sattur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10608 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10608 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026