



CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 10/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

Mareeswari @ Marishwari

... Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti West Police Station,
Thoothukkudi District.
(Crime No. 512 of 2026).

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 512 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M..Prabu,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

judicial custody on 27.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 105, 125(A) and 288 of BNS, 2023 and Section 9(8)(1)(a) of Explosives Act, in Crime No.512 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.05.2026, the petitioner and the other accused persons manufactured explosive substances illegally without proper permission and thereby caused an accident in a small building. In that accident, 2 persons died on the spot and 1 person died in the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she was falsely implicated in this case and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner and she has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that he petitioner and the other accused persons




CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

manufactured explosive substances illegally without proper permission and thereby caused an accident and also caused death to 3 persons and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the petitioner is not the owner of the property and she is the wife of the main accused and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial**



CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

WEB COPY

Magistrate-II, Kovilpatti, and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily **at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself



CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

WEB COPY

as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Kovilpatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti West Police Station,
Thoothukkudi District.
3. The Superintendent, Women Prison, Kokkirakulam.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10624 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10624 of 2026

Date : 10/06/2026