



CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 11/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

1.Ayyanar

2. Pandiyarajan

3. Karankumar

4. Selvaraj ... Petitioners/ Accused No.1,6,7 &12

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No.239/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.239/2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioners : D. Thirumoorthy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 74 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.239 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are the close relatives. Due to previous enmity, on 07.05.2026, at about 06.30 a.m., the petitioners and the other accused are said to have abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him, his father, his mother and one Muneeswaran and caused blood injuries to all and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that this is the second anticipatory bail petition and the earlier petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on 20.05.2026 in CrI.OP(MD)No.9653 of 2026 on the




CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

ground that the injured was in hospital and now, the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the alleged occurrence was happened and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he strongly opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He would further submit that earlier petition filed by the petitioners was dismissed by this Court on the ground that the injured was in hospital and now, the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners 2 to 4 and the first petitioner has 5 previous cases.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and the injured was discharged



CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners 2 to 4 and though the prosecution stated that the first petitioner has 5 previous cases, in those cases, he was already released on bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m, for a period of 4 weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
11.06.2026

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10826 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10826 of 2026

Date : 11/06/2026