



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

Vijay

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vengamedu Police Station,
Karur District.

(Crime No. 140 / 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 140 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : N.Ratchaka,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

judicial custody on 12.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 106(1) of BNS Act and r/w Section 185 of MV Act @ Section 281, 105 of BNS Act and r/w Section 185 of MV Act, 1989, in Crime No.140 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the deceased. On 10.05.2026, at about 07.00 p.m, when the deceased was proceeding in his two wheeler bearing Reg.No.TN-55-X-4078 towards Vennaimalai Pasupathipalayam to Pudhupalayam Road from South to North, the accused came in an inebriated condition in his car bearing Reg.No.TN-07-AD-6138 in a rash and negligent manner in the opposite direction and dashed against the two wheeler of the deceased. As a result, the deceased succumbed to his injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026


petitioner and the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner made an accident by driving his car in a rash and negligent manner and caused death to the deceased and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

WEB COPY

on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate-I, Karur**, and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily **at 10.30 a.m., in all working days until further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Karur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vengamedu Police Station, Karur District.
3. The Superintendent, District Prison, Karur.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10628 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10628 of 2026

Date : 10/06/2026