



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10522 of 2026

Subash @ Manikandan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Chinnadharapuram Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.76 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 76 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.76 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026


anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 12.05.2026 at 7.50 p.m., during the temple festival, when the defacto complainant proceeding in the Rajapuram East Street, the accused persons waylaid him, abused him in filthy language, attacked him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous enmity, this complaint has been lodged by the defacto complainant. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to the previous enmity due to the temple festival, the petitioners gathered together scolded the defacto complainant and also assaulted him. Counter case has also been registered in this matter. The injured in this case was discharged from the hospital. The petitioner has two previous cases. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026

of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, the injured was discharged from the hospital, there is counter case has also been registered, though the petitioner has two previous cases, in those cases already he was granted anticipatory bail and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Aravakurichi**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026

thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.


(P D B J)
09.06.2026

TM

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Aravakurichi.

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026

2.The Inspector of Police,
Chinnadharapuram Police Station,
Karur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10522 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10522 of 2026

Date : 09.06.2026