



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10516 of 2026

Shaktivel

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kalakkad Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.530 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Augustine Ebenezer
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 530 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 308(5) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.530 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.05.2026, when the defacto complainant purchasing some goods from a petty shop in his Village, the accused persons came from the neighboring Village, parked their vehicle in the middle of the road. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the accused persons picked up wordy quarrel with him and threatened him and took away his mobile phone. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to misunderstanding this complaint was lodged by the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties in the place of occurrence itself. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The cell phone and Rs.1,000/- has also been recovered from the petitioner. The co-accused are still in custody. This



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

petitioner has one previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, considering the money involved in this case and already co-accused were secured by the police, though this petitioner has one previous case, in that case also he was granted anticipatory bail, the cellphone and money has also been recovered, and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
09.06.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kalakkad Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10516 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10516 of 2026

Date : 09.06.2026