



CRL OP(MD). No.10538 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10538 of 2026

Ajithkumar

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Sivakasi Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No. 240 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Mareeskumar,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervener : Mr.P.Pethu Rajesh

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 240 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.10538 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2), 303(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 240 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.05.2026, the defacto complainant went to an Exhibition along with his wife, parents and at that time, the accused persons picked up a quarrel with the defacto complainant, abused him with filthy language, pelted stone at his father. When the same was intervened by the defacto complainant, the accused persons brutally assaulted and kicking him to the ground, and also snatched away a three sovereign of gold chain worn by him. When his family members came to rescue him, the accused persons allegedly assaulted them and causing injuries on various parts of their bodies. Hence the case.



CRL OP(MD), No.10538 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The injured have already been discharged from the hospital. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener would submit that during the occurrence, the accused persons had brutally assaulted the defacto complainant and his family members and also snatched away a gold chain. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered against the accused persons. Due to wordy quarrel between the parties, the alleged occurrence took place and there is no chain snatching. However, considering the stage of investigation and the offences are grave in



CRL OP(MD). No.10538 of 2026

nature, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that the injured have already been discharged from the hospital, as well as the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivakasi**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.***



CRL OP(MD), No.10538 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.10538 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can

WEB COPY *be registered under Section 269 BNS.*

(P D B J)
09.06.2026

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivakasi.
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Viruthunagar District.
3. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Virudhunagar
4. The Inspector of Police,
Sivakasi Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10538 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10538 of 2026

Date : 09/06/2026