WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.10546 of 2025

Kallukundu Udayarbalraj ... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.86 of 2025) ... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Venkatesh

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.K.Sivabalan
For M/s. Arun Legal Consultancy

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.86 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 318(4), 351(2), 316(5) of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

BNS in Crime No.86 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had contacted the de-facto complainant who is running a paddy procurement shop. The petitioner had purchased the paddy from the de-facto complainant. The petitioner had cheated the de-facto complainant to the tune of Rs. 22,10,000/- under the guise of paddy business. He had also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, a case has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Further, the petitioner is having 6 previous cases which are similar in nature.

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner is doing the same offence repeatedly and the modus operandi is same. Taking into

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, previous antecedents and the specific overt act against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

23.02.2026

TMG

TO

1.The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

S.SRIMATHY, J.

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10546 of 2025

Date :23.02.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis