



CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 08/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

1. T Apoorvakani Raja

2. Thurobathai

3. Kanjana ... Petitioners/ Accused No.1, 6 & 7

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,

The Inspector of Police,

Thondi Police Station,

Thiruvadanai Taluk,

Ramanathapuram District.

Cr.No.118/2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

for Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.118 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Athamali S.,

Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 189(2), 296(b), 351(3), 74 of BNS, 203, in Crime No.118 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.05.2026 at about 02.00 p.m., due to previous enmity, the petitioners are said to have abused the defacto complainant and his wife in filthy language and attacked the defacto complainant with bare hands and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case and counter case and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and already the co-accused (A2, A3 & A4) were released on bail. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to previous enmity, the petitioners abused and the defacto



CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

complainant and his mother and attacked the defacto complainant and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He would further submit that it is a case and counter case and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and already the co-accused were released on bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and there is a dispute between the parties with regard conduct temple festival and it is a case and counter case and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and already the co-accused were released on bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the



WEB COPY

order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 4 weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
08.06.2026

dss

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Thondi Police Station,  
Thiruvadanai Taluk,  
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

P. DHANABAL,J

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10428 of 2026

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.10428 of 2026

Date : 08/06/2026