



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 08/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

R Krishnaveni

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,

The Inspector of Police,

Thondi Police Station, Thiruvadanai Taluk,

Ramanathapuram District.

Crime No. 122 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 122 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Athamali S.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.122 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the dispute with regard to fetching water in a public tap, the petitioner and the other accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she was falsely implicated in this case and she has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the co-accused (A2) was already released on anticipatory bail. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to the dispute with regard to fetching water in a public tap, the



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

petitioner and the other accused abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and threatened her with dire consequences and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the co-accused was already released on anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a dispute between the parties with regard to fetching water in a public tap and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the co-accused (A2) was already released on anticipatory bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 4 weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

08.06.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station, Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10379 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10379 of 2026

Date : 08/06/2026