



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

Suriya

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruparankundram Law & Order Police Station
Madurai.
(Crime No. 106 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vishnukanth
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 106 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 326(f) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 106 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the parties, on 05.04.2026 at about 3.00 a.m., the petitioner and the other accused came near to the resident of the defacto complainant and enquired about a two wheeler and threatened the defacto complainant. Thereafter, on 07.04.2026 at about 11.00 a.m., the said vehicle was found in a burnt condition. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no one was injured in this case and co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026


petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 326(f) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 106 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioner has seven previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that no one was injured in this case and co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court and also considering the facts that though the petitioner has seven previous cases, in all cases the petitioner was granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner,



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate -VI, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Sattur Police Station, Virudhunagar, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

witness either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate -VI, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruparankundram Law & Order Police Station
Madurai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 10598 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10598 of 2026

Date : 10.06.2026