



CRL OP(MD) . No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.10258 of 2026

Vinoth Pandian,
S/o. Backiyanathan,
Chukataranillaiyam,
Attukulam,
Madurai District 625 106..
... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Town South Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 172 of 2026).
... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mahendrapathy,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN
(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 172 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 331(4) and 305(a) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.172 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.4.2026 at about 05.00 p.m., the defacto complainant's house maid went to the house of the defacto complainant and switch on the light in front door of the house was broken on search, they found that 11 ½ sovereigns of gold and 3kgs of silver utensils were missing. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that based on the confession of the co-accused, he was arrayed as accused. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner has four previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and also considering the fact that though the petitioner has four previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in all cases, he was released on bail and the properties have been seized and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and the confession of the co-accused, he was arrayed as accused and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)
with two sureties each for a like sum to
the satisfaction of the learned Judicial
Magistrate concerned and on further
conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report
before the Inspector of Police, Natham
Police Station, Natham, Dindigul
District, daily at 10.30 a.m., until
further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit
any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond
either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper
with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid
conditions, the learned Judicial
Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
05.06.2026

VSG



CRL OP(MD). No.10258 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Town South Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Natham,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10258 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10258 of 2026

Date : 05/06/2026