



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

1. Anturoos Sesuraj,
S/o. Anthony,
3/11.7, West Street,
Silukuvarpatti, Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District..

2. Arul Vijay,
S/o. Santhiyagu Charles,
7/110, Colony Street,
Kamaiapuram, Mettur Post,
Kodairoad, Dindigul District..

3. Stepenraj,
S/o. Dass,
130, South Street,
Silukuvarpatti, Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District..

4. Premkumar,
S/o. Thangavel,
3/186, Thannasiparaipatti,
Thamaraipadi Post,
Dindigul District..

... Petitioners/Accused



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

Vs

WEB COPY

The Inspector of Police,
Guziliamparai Police Station,
Dindigui District.
(Crime No. 108 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Suresh,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN
(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
108 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest
at the hands of the respondent for the offences
punishable under Sections 140(3) and 142 of BNS,
2023, in Crime No.108 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.




CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.05.2026 at about 07.00 p.m., when the defacto complainant was proceeded to Dindigul to Karur Road by his car, at that time, the accused persons came by two wheeler and restrained him and assaulted him and also abducted him and on 11.05.2026, again they demanded money from him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the petitioners are not named accused. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the petitioners have two previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and though the petitioners have two previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in all cases, they were released on bail and also considering the fact that the petitioners are not named accused and most of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

WEB COPY

ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, at Guziliamparai, Dindigul District,** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

interrogation.

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



CRL OP(MD). No.10192 of 2026

WEB COPY

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR
SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter
absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
05.06.2026

VSG

TO

1.The learned District Munsif Cum Judicial
Magistrate Court, at Guziliamparai, Dindigul
District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Guziliamparai Police Station,
Dindigui District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10192 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10192 of 2026

Date : 05/06/2026