



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10277 of 2026

G.Krishnan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam, Theni District.
(Crime No.21 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jaswanth Ram
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 21 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 9(m) and 10 of POCSO Act and under Section 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.21 of 2026 on



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026


the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.04.2026 at 03.00 p.m., when the victim girl returned home after visiting a nearby shop, the petitioner grabbed her by the hand, dragged her into his own house, pressed her chest and threatened to kill her family if she revealed the incident to anyone. Subsequently on 06.05.2026, he again attempted to molest the victim girl. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is landlord and tenancy dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. Hence, this false case has been foisted against the petitioner. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The petitioner attempted to molest the victim girl. The victim girl is aged about 12 years. The petitioner has no previous case. Statement of the victim girl under Section



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026

183(5) of BNS has also been recorded. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, the statement of the victim under Section 183(5) of BNS has also been recorded, and there is no previous case against the petitioner and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Principal Special Court Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Theni, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily, at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days, thereafter as and



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026

when required for interrogation;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;


[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
05.06.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Sessions Judge, Principal Special Court Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Theni.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam, Theni District. (Crime No.21 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10277 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10277 of 2026

Date : 05.06.2026