



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10296 of 2026

Muthukrishnan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Koomapatty Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.70 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Vignesh
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 70 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 308(4), 109, 324(4) of BNS and Section 3(a) and 3(b) of Explosive Substances



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026


Act, in Crime No.70 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.05.2026, at about 10.50 a.m., when the defacto complainant was going to opening the Tasmac shop, the petitioner along with other accused came in a two wheeler and demanded beer. But, the defacto complainant refused and stated that the shop will be opened at only 11.00 a.m. and the beer only be given to the members of club. Hence, the accused persons abused them in filthy language and in order to kill him pelted country bomb upon him and also damaged the shop. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has not actively involved in the above said occurrence. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. This petitioner only bought the country bomb and handed over to the other accused. The petitioner has no previous case. The injured was discharged from the hospital.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026

He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, injured was discharged from the hospital, even according the prosecution, this petitioner has not directly participated in the occurrence, the allegation against the petitioner is that he handed over the country bomb to other accused, apart from that there is no other allegation and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Watrap, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026

daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
05.06.2026

TM

To

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Watrap.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Koomapatty Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.70 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, District Jail, Virudhunagar.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10296 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10296 of 2026

Date : 05.06.2026