



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **13.03.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR**
AND
THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

W.A.(MD)No.393 of 2026
and
C.M.P.(MD)No.3665 of 2026

Jeyashankar

...Appellant

Vs.

1.Ayyappan

2.The Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of Virudhunagar Now Sattur,
Virudhunagar District,

5.The Thasildhar,
Virudhunagar Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

6.The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

7.The Inspector of Police,



WEB COPY

Aamathur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

8.Sangaralingam

...Respondents

PRAYER:- Writ Appeal– filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order passed in W.P.(MD)No. 9348 of 2024 dated 24.07.2024

For Appellant : Mr.O.R.Gokul Abimanyu
For Respondent : Mr.K.Sivabalan (R1)
for M/s.Aran Legal Consultancy
Mr.D.Sasikumar (R2, R4 & R5)
Additional Government Pleader
Mr.K.Sanjay Gandhi (R3)
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by **N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.**)

This writ appeal is filed challenging the order of the learned Single Judge in entertaining the writ petition filed by the writ petitioner in respect of the land dispute.

2.We have perused the order passed by the learned Single Judge and the entire materials placed on record.

3.We are of the view that though the learned Single Judge found that the writ petition is not at all maintainable in respect of civil rights, however, taking note of the issue with regard to the subject land



and on the basis of the undertaking given by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and the eighth respondent not to install the photograph of one Kumaravel either in the temple or in the adjoining Mandapam, the learned Single Judge has issued the said direction.

4. We do not find any infirmity or irregularity in the order of the learned Single Judge. It is for the parties to approach the civil Court and establish their rights by producing valid evidence. Till such time, as directed by the learned Single Judge, no photographs shall be installed either in the Temple or in the adjoining Mandapam, by either of the parties.

5. Accordingly, this writ appeal is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

[N.S.K., J.] & [M.J.R., J.]
13.03.2026

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
ta



WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of Virudhunagar Now Sattur,
Virudhunagar District,
- 4.The Thasildhar,
Virudhunagar Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.
- 5.The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 6.The Inspector of Police,
Aamathur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



**N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.**

ta

W.A.(MD)No.393 of 2026

13.03.2026