

CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026

Sekar @ Rajasekar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep .by
The Inspector of Police
Melur Police Station
Madurai District
Crime No.129 of 2026

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Prabha

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian

Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 129 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A7, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 46,296(b), 115(2), 118(1),351(3) and 103(1) of BNS in Crime No. 129 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity all the accused persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with wooden log and criminally intimidated with dire consequences and when the defacto complainant's brother who is the deceased came to know about the above incident when questioned the accused persons for which they brutally assaulted him with wooden log and caused his death. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that

due to previous enmity all the accused persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with wooden log and criminally intimidated with dire consequences and when the deceased questioned the accused persons for which they brutally assaulted him with wooden log and caused his death. He would further submit that the investigation is not yet completed. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.


6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offence and also considering the gravity of offence and also the fact that the investigation is not yet completed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
15.06.2026

aav

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police
Melur Police Station
Madurai District

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10437 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10437 of 2026

Date : 15.06.2026